OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS CITY COUNCIL ELECTRIC ADVISORY BOARD CITY OF ESCANABA, MICHIGAN Special Joint Meeting Wednesday, October 28, 2009

Pursuit to a special meeting posted October 15, 2009, the meeting was called to order by the Mayor Leo J. Evans at 6:30 p.m. in the Council Chambers of City Hall located at 410 Ludington Street.

Present: Mayor Leo J. Evans, Council Members Gilbert X. Cheves, Patricia A. Baribeau, Brady L. Nelson, and Thomas P. Warstler

Electrical Advisory Board Members: Larry Arkens, Ronald Beauchamp, Ann Bissell, Glendon Brown, and Don Racicot.

- Absent: Electrical Advisory Board Member Walter Baker, John Mellinger, and Tim Wilson.
- Also Present: City Manager James V. O'Toole, and Electric Superintendent Mike Furmanski, Consultant Tom Butz of Power Systems Engineering (PSE), members of the public, and media.

UNFINISHED BUSINESS - None

NEW BUSINESS

The following agenda items were reviewed together. PSE consultant Tom Butz presented a power point presentation entitled, Escanaba Plant Sale and Purchase Power Initial Evaluation. (See Attachment – A)

Management Briefing – Request for Proposal – All Requirements Purchase Power.

Administration updated the City Council, Electrical Advisory Committee and Citizens of Escanaba on the status of the All Requirements Purchase Power Request for Proposal(s). On September 28, 2009, seven (7) provider proposals were submitted to the City of Escanaba for consideration. The City of Escanaba requested proposals from electric suppliers to provide both firm power and load following requirements commencing no later than July 1, 2011. PSE representative

Management Briefing – Request for Proposal – Sale of Steam and/or Combustion Turbine Generation Facilities.

Administration updated the City Council, Electrical Advisory Committee and Citizens

Joint City Council & Electrical Advisory Minutes October 28, 2009 – cont.

of Escanaba on the status of the Sale of Steam and/or Combustion Turbine Generation Facilities Request for Proposal. The City of Escanaba requested proposals from entities with an interest in continuing the operation of the generation facilities and maintaining as much continuity of employment as possible.

It was the consensus of the Electrical Advisory Committee and City Council, that after reviewing the RFP information regarding the Power Plant Purchase, Rockland Capital was eliminated from consideration. Traxys North America and DTE Energy Services were the remaining two companies under consideration for the Power Plant Purchase.

Administration was directed to post the November 11, 2009, Electrical Advisory Committee regular meeting as a joint meeting of City Council and Electrical Advisory Committee.

After discussion of the Power Plant and Purchase Power presentation, the following motions were made:

Electrical Advisory Board Member Brown moved, Electrical Advisory Board Member Arkens seconded, CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY, to recommend the Escanaba City Council hire a second consultant to help with the purchase power evaluation, at a cost not to exceed \$15,000.00.

Council Member Cheves moved, Council Member Nelson seconded, the Escanaba City Council engage a second consultant to help with the power purchase evaluation cost comparisons, at a cost not to exceed \$15,000.00, as recommended by the Electrical Advisory Committee.

Upon a call of the roll, the vote was as follows:

Ayes: Cheves, Nelson, Baribeau, Evans Nays: Warstler

MOTION CARRIED.

Administration reviewed the following other items:

- Superintendent Furmanski advised a November 3, 2009, meeting was scheduled with WPS and ATC regarding the MISO market participate application;
- Reviewed EPA request.

Hearing no public comment or further reports from the Electrical Advisory Committee and Council, the meeting adjourned at 7:57 p.m.

Joint City Council & Electrical Advisory Minutes October 28, 2009 – cont.

Respectfully submitted,

Robert S. Richards, CMC City Clerk Approved:

Leo J. Evans, Mayor

Escanaba Plant Sale and Purchase Power Second Discussion

Tom Butz Power System Engineering October 28, 2009

Areas to Discuss

- More descriptions on the purchase power proposals
- Carbon footprint of proposals
- MLC/MCC description and historic costs
- Coal inventory projection for Escanaba
- Escanaba Current cost projection
- Next Steps

Purchase Power Proposals

- Additional Information Requested
 - Great Lakes Utilities
 - Minnesota Power
 - WPS/UPPCO
 - WE Energies
 - Traxys
- High Level Cost Comparison
 - Allows Screening to See lowest Cost Parties to Pursue

Purchase Power Proposals Components of Costs

- Demand Higher than Market for Formula Based Costs
 - Credit for CT Capacity with Some Proposals
- Energy Lower than Market for Formula Based Costs
- Network Transmission Common to All Plans
- Ancillary Services
 - Included in Demand/Energy costs
- MISO Marketing Agent
 - Must be Added to Self Generation Costs, but included in all Purchase Power Proposals
- Delivery
 - Hourly cost to deliver power to Escanaba
 - Applicable for MP, UPPCO, WPS, WE Energies, WPPI

	Summary of Proposal Information						
							Network
						MISO Marketing	Transmission
Proposal	Туре	Term	Demand Billing	Energy Billing	Use of CT	Services	Costs
				Formula Based Rate - Same			
			Maximum Escanaba	Value for Each Each Year -	Participating Power		
Minnesota Power	Formula	Five Years 2010-2015	Demand	Trued up based on Actuals	Agreement for the CT	Not Clear	
					Willing to negotiate up to 10%		
		15 year contract with	Coincident with	On and Off peak energy rate On	capacity charge credit for	Yes Included in Formula	
		three year termination	Supplier - Demand	Peak Period Trued up Based on	running the CT up to 600	Rate If the Plants are not	
UPPCO	Formula	notice	Savings potential	Actual Costs	hours per year	being bid into the market	
					Willing to negotiate up to 10%		
		15 year contract with	Coincident with	On and Off peak energy rate On	capacity charge credit for	Yes Included in Formula	
		three year termination	Supplier - Demand	Peak Period Trued up Based on	running the CT up to 600	Rate If the Plants are not	Common
WPS	Formula	notice	Savings potential	Actual Costs	hours per year	being bid into the market	Assumption and
			Coincident with	On and Off peak energy rate On		Yes Included in Formula	Costs Included in
		10, 15, or 20 year	Supplier - Demand	Peak Period Trued up Based on	CT may be run as desired to	Rate If the Plants are not	both Stand Alone
WE Energy	Formula	Term	Savings potential	Actual Costs	reduce demand billing peak	being bid into the market	Case and Individual
American Electric			Maximum Escanaba				Proposale
Power	Market	3 years	Demand	Fixed Price	No option for CT Credit	Not Clear	Toposais
					Option to Purchase CT as a		
		10 years - Willing to	Maximum Escanaba	Fixed pricing w/out on and off	trasfer of assets, and not part of		
Traxsys	Market	Start in Year 6	Demand	pk periods	the purchase power RFP	Included	
			Coincident with		Participating Power	Yes Included in Formula	
		5 years, or up to 10	Supplier - Demand	on pk 8 am to 10 pm $$ - HE 9 am	Agreement for the CT Prices	Rate If the Plants are not	
Great Lakes Utilities	Formula	years	Savings potential	to HE 10 PM M-F	Quoted are in the range of	being bid into the market	
			Coincident with		Agreement for the CT - Prices	Yes Included in Formula	
			Supplier - Demand	On Pk HE 8 am to HE 9 pm M-	Quoted are in the range of	Rate If the Plants are not	
WPPI	Formula	Five Years	Savings potential	F	\$1.00/kW-mo	being bid into the market	

Carbon Emissions – Purchase Power Proposals

Available Data

- Generation Mix % from Response Package
- Hourly data available for WPS, WE Energies, and MP from Independent data source
- Data not as readily available for Traxys, as it depends on their assessment of the fuel mix

MLC/MCC Costs

- Define
 - Difference in Cost From One Node to Another
- Proposals dependent
 - MP, WPS, UPPCO, WE Energies
 - WPPI MLC + 50% MCC up to 5% of Costs
- Proposals Independent
 - Traxys, AEP, Great Lakes Utilities
- History of Costs

MP MLC/MCC Monthly Avg



WPS MLC/MCC Monthly Avg



WPPI Energies MLC/MCC Monthly Avg



10

Conclusions and Summary of Costs

- 2009 Delivery Costs are lower with lower market
- 2008 costs are more indicative of a normal market
- Action: put in monthly 2008 values in evaluation for purchase power proposal

Purchase Power Evaluation

- Define Evaluation Matrix
- First Discussion of Matrix

Purchase Power Evaluation Matrix

- Resource Diversity 30 points
- Risk of Delivery Cost (MLC/MCC) 30 points
- Alignment with Muni Business Structure 30 points
- Expected Cost Uncertainty 30 points
- NPV of Costs 50 points
- Resource Capacity MW / Escanaba Peak MW – 30 points
- 13 Total 200 points

CO2 Emissions

- WEC 1.05 tons/MWh
- WPS 1.024 tons/MWh
- MP 0.8845 tons/MWh
- GLU 1.0 tons/MWh
- WPPI 0.8 tons/MWh
- AEP N/A
- Traxys Est 0.2 tons/MWh

Escanaba Self Generation Costs

- Large driver of Coal Costs
- Coal Inventory is a key issue
 - When will high cost coal be used?
- Components of costs
- Summary of current costs and projected

Key Issue – Use of Current Coal Contract



Update on Plant Sale Evaluation

- Screen out Rockland Capital ?
- Run through Evaluation Points of two parties on Nov 11 – Ad hoc committee to provide input to scoring
- Decide on Plant Sale at November 11 Meeting

Purchase Power Strategy

- MISO Market Services
 - Included in All Purchase Power Proposals
- Lower Cost Higher Carbon Proposal
 - Cost Comparisons in process
 - Strategy of Seeking Lowest Cost Purchase for Five years is being evaluated
- Low Carbon Proposal
 - Strategy of pursuing low carbon energy starting in 2016 appears acceptable

Next Steps

- Purchase Power Cost Comparisons
- Meet with Ad Hoc Committee Nov 4 or 5
- Include Comments and Prepare for November 11
- November 11 Joint Meeting
 - Purchase Power Cost Comparisons
 - Self Generation Cost Comparisons
 - Decide on Top Generation Sale Parties (1st and 2nd)
 - Decide on Top Purchase Power Parties (1st 2nd and 3rd)
- Provide Notice to Plant Purchase Entity and
- Purchase Power Provider after November Meeting